HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

#### PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. \_\_\_\_100200028-7

#### MANAGE IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

Inventor(s):

Jeans et al.

**CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

Confirmation No.: 1876

Application No.: 10/696,825

NOV 14 2005

Examiner: G. Peralta

Filing Date:

10/30/2003

Group Art Unit: 2814

Title:

A METHOD FOR COATING A SEMICONDUCTOR SUBSTRATE WITH A MIXTURE

CONTAINING AN ADHESION PROMOTER

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents **Commissioner For Patents** PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF

<del></del>	
Sir:	
Transmitted herewith is the Appeal on 11-10-2005	Brief in this application with respect to the Notice of Appeal filed
The fee for filing this Appeal Brief is	(37 CFR 1.17(c)) \$500.00.
(con	nplete (a) or (b) as applicable)
The proceedings herein are for a pat	ent application and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) apply.
for the total number of mont ( ) one month ( ) two months	tension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 (fees: 37 CFR 1.17(a)-(d) hs checked below: \$120.00 \$450.00 \$1020.00 \$1590.00

( ) The extension fee has already been filled in this application.

(X) (b) Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

. At any time during the \$500.00 Please charge to Deposit Account 08-2025 the sum of pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

( ) I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Date of Deposit: UB

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile on <u>11/14/2005</u> number (571) 273-8300

Number of pages: 10

Typed Name: Hugh,P. Gortle

Signature

Rev 12/04 (Aphrief)

Respectfully submitted,

Jeans et/al.

Hugh P/Gortler

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 33,890

Date: 11/14/2005

Telephone No.: (949) 454-0898

PAGE 1/10 \* RCVD AT 11/14/2005 9:10:28 PM [Eastern Standard Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 \* DNIS:2738300 \* CSID:949 454 0898 \* DURATION (mm-ss):03-22

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 14 2005

Patent

Docket No. 100200028-7

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

APPE	AL N	Ο.	

In re Application of: Albert Hua Jeans et al.

Serial No. 10/696,825 Filed: October 30, 2003 Confirmation No. 1876 Examiner: Ginette Peralta

Art Unit: 2814

For: A METHOD FOR COATING A SEMICONDUCTOR SUBSTRATE WITH A MIXTURE CONTAINING AN ADHESION PROMOTER

#### APPEAL BRIEF

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on November 14, 2005.

/Hugh Gortler #33,890/ Hugh P. Gortler, Reg. No. 33,890 Hugh P. Gortler, Esq.

Hewlett-Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

(949) 454-0898

11/16/2005 MBINAS 00000008 082025 10696825

01 FC:1402 500.00 DA

#### **INDEX**

	•	Page
1.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	1
2.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	1
3.	STATUS OF CLAIMS	1
4.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	1
<b>5</b> .	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUJECT MATTER	1
6.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL.	3
7.	ARGUMENTS.  Rejection of independent claim 17 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Beckham et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,604,644 in view of Norland Optical Adhesive and HD Microsystems	3
8.	CLAIMS APPENDIX	6
9.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX	None
10.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	None

#### 1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the assignee, Hewlett-Packard Development Company.

### 2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

No appeals or interferences are known to have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

#### 3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 17-20 are pending.

Claims 17-20 are rejected.

The rejections of claims 17-20 are being appealed.

#### 4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendment was filed subsequent to final rejection.

#### 5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The semiconductor industry relies on coating technology for the fabrication of products with complex, sequentially coated layers with specific chemical or physical properties. Multi-layer coatings may be used in a typical semiconductor to create separate insulating layers, semiconductor layers, and conducting layers to produce electronic components such as capacitors and transistors, on a microscopic scale.

Claim 17 recites an article comprising a semiconductor substrate; and a coating mixture on the semiconductor substrate. The coating mixture comprises adhesion promoter and photopolymer. The adhesion promoter contains α-amino

propyltriethoxysilane in organic solution. The adhesion promoter enhances the wettability of the semiconductor substrate.

Figure 2a illustrates, and paragraph 17 describes an example of a substrate 201 and mixture 202. The photopolymer is described in paragraph 14, and the adhesion promoter is described in paragraph 15. The mixture of the adhesion promoter and photopolymer is described in paragraph 18 and illustrated in Figure 1, step 105. Application of the mixture on the substrate is described in paragraph 19 and illustrated in Figure 1, step 106.

Paragraph 16 reveals that the adhesion promoter enhances the wettability of the semiconductor substrate. This, in turn, enables the photopolymer to spread evenly and uniformly across the substrate.

### 6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Rejection of independent claim 17 under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Beckham et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,604,644 in view of Norland Optical Adhesive and HD Microsystems.

#### 7. ARGUMENTS

The office action cites Beckham U.S. Patent No. 4,604,644 as a primary reference. Figures 5-6 of Beckham show a device 10 bonded to a substrate 5 by solder bonding terminals 13. A dielectric 30 fills the gaps between the terminals at the periphery of the device 10 (col. 6, lines 43-47). The dielectric can be made of a suitable organic polymerized resin that has the ability to coat effectively and bond to the solder terminals, the semiconductor device and the substrate (col. 6, lines 49-57). A resin - Al-10 - is described at col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 10. The resin can be mixed with an adhesion promoter (col. 7, lines 10-16).

Beckham does not teach or suggest mixing a photopolymer with an adhesion promoter that contains α-amino propyltriethoxysilane in organic solution.

The office action cites a first data sheet by Norland Optical Adhesive. The first data sheet describes the photopolymer NOA83H. According to the first data sheet, the photopolymer NOA83H is a single component adhesive that is used to bond optical components.

The office action cites a second data sheet by HD Microsystems. The second data sheet describes the adhesion promoter VM-652. According to the

second data sheet, VM-652 is used to improve adhesion of polyimide coatings to silicon dioxide and silicon nitride-coated wafers. The second data sheet states quite explicitly that VM-652 is applied to a wafer and dried <u>before</u> a polyimide coating is applied to the wafer. It is not mixed with a polyimide.

The office action nevertheless concludes that it would be obvious to mix an adhesion promoter such as VM-652 with a photopolymer or resin. The office action also concludes that it would be obvious to use the photopolymer NOA83H instead of, or in addition to, Beckham's resin Al-10.

The office action offers no evidence to suggest mixing the VM-652 with a photopolymer or resin. The second data sheet explicitly states that the VM-652 is applied before a polyimide coating is applied. Moreover, the second data sheet does not teach or suggest that an adhesion promoter such as VM-652 would promote good bonding to solder terminals, as required by Beckham.

The first data sheet teaches away from mixing the NOA83H with another component. It states that the photopolymer NOA83H is a single component adhesive.

The office action offers no evidence to suggest using photopolymer NOA83H instead of, or in addition to, the Al-10 resin. The first data sheet does not teach or suggest that the photopolymer NOA83H is a suitable alternative to the resin Al-10. Photopolymer NOA83H has different properties than resin Al-10. The resin Al-10 is not a photopolymer. It is heated to cause a polymerizing reaction (col. 7, lines 9-10).

The office action alleges that NOA83H and VM-652 would improve the properties and bonding of Beckham's dielectric. However, the office action provides no evidence to support this allegation. The allegation is unsubstantiated. An examiner's unsubstantiated allegations with respect to knowledge in the prior art does not provide evidence of suggestion, particularly in light of a challenge. See In re Ahlert, 424 F2d.,1088, 1091-92 165 USPQ 418, 420-421 (CCPA1970). The examiner's unsubstantiated allegations were challenged in a response to a previous office action. The allegations remain unsubstantiated.

In conclusion, the examiner is engaging in hindsight reconstruction of the claimed invention, using applicants' structure as a template and selecting elements from the cited documents to fill the gaps. Such hindsight reconstruction does not provide a legal basis for a '103 rejection.

The '103 rejection of claim 17 should be withdrawn Accordingly, claim 17 and its dependent claims 18-20 should be allowed over the documents made of record. The Honorable Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences is respectfully requested to reverse the '103 rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

/Hugh Gortler #33,890/ Hugh P. Gortler, Esq. Registration No. 33,890

Hewlett-Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins. Colorado 80527-2400

(949) 454-0898

Date: November 14, 2005

#### 8. CLAIMS APPENDIX

Claims 1-16 (Cancelled)

- 17. (Previously presented) An article comprising a semiconductor substrate; and a coating mixture comprised of adhesion promoter and photopolymer on the semiconductor substrate, the adhesion promoter containing α-amino propyltriethoxysilane in organic solution.
- 18. (Previously presented) The article of claim 17 wherein said semiconductor substrate is a flexible amorphous silicon-coated web.
- 19. (Previously presented) The article of claim 17 wherein the photopolymer contains mercapto-ester in solution.
- 20. (Previously presented) The article of claim 19 wherein said coating mixture is applied to said semiconductor substrate using spin coating.

Claims 21 (Cancelled)

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.